App F IV 4]

Previous References (1924-25 Misc) File No 156-24

Serial Nos 1, 2, 3 & 4

Later references

Preserve

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos

Part B for December 25

SUBJECT

Construction of Ariganeyaswami temple near the western gate of the Hindu Fortress at Kunigal.

No L 4407 & 10/12/25 to D.C. Tumkur returning the records

19/12/25

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

NOTES

Nil File No 156 24

FILE HEADING

Construction of Anjaneyaswami temple at Kunigal

From The Deputy Comr Tumkur District

No C 82/24 23 Dated 1 2 25 Received 4 2 25

Diary No	L 4966	Enclos 1 file Spare Copies	Serial No 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

Brings to the notice of Govt the conduct of Mr M Ramaswamy ex the previous Shirstadar of Kunigal in connection with the grant, on his own responsibility, of license to construct an Anjaneya's temple near the Western gate of the Sirsi Farm in direct violation of the Govt orders on the subject

NOTES AND ORDERS.

States also (1) that under the circumstances explained in the above letter he is strongly of opinion that some notice should be taken of the conduct of this Amildar who has handled the affair in a highly irresponsible manner in defiance of Govt orders and with utter disregard to the interests of one of the premier institutions of the District — the Municipal Khata Farm

(2) that the Dewan of the Mysore Court also deserves some censure in as much as in their anxiety to lend their support to the high handed

NOTES —First Sheet

WD 1881—GPE—30 000—1-8-21

Serial No

action of the Amildar President, they failed to exercise proper discretion

(3) that he is of opinion that the construction of the temple on the site in question should be also prohibited for reasons detailed in the letter

Submitted for orders

4. There has been no doubt a misstatement of facts on the part of the Amildar President - ~~in the~~ as he has on 21/3/23 noted that "In that case a license may come" (Pl.C) but has stated on 8/6/23 that no such permission is granted (Pl.D) that is stated is "no permission to granted to build a new place of worship" though it has been resolved by the Municipal Council that license may be given

5. In the license forms are endorsed as "Site given to the Panch" & the other as "Site to Sant Dnyaneshwar Kumpal Town endorsements have been made by the Vice President & not the President "Nandy" but not signed This is not stated so that when this was made clear it should be stated

6 The Municipal Council is perhaps more to blame than the Amildar President & although the Vice President wanted

Submit with copies of Circulars referred to in the Dy Comrs letter (Flagged X & Y)

NOTE—Contn Sheet

a Mahazar be prepared & sent, yet a decree was ordered to be passed in the absence of the Defendants that in the face of the Council Resolution, the Mahazar if against Govt cannot be acted upon & that at all, the new President seems to be the moving spirit, though the draft the Amildar President should have drafted the orders of the D.J. & not the Mahazar of the Kind the explanation may perhaps be obtained also.

Serial No

7 The D.J. wants what that the Govt Council be advised in connection with the Construction of a temple

8 The D.J. has invoked Section 174 (1) of the Land Regulation powerful & needful and is out of Court in this respect.

L. L. Secy 28/12.

~~(a) may be done as the Govt decide action to be taken against the Amildar~~

9 D.J. may be asked to comply with the provisions of S.S. (1) (a) of Section 174 of the Land Regulation & pass an order in writing under his signature & forward to Govt Council & Govt.

The Govt can only take action after an order is submitted to it.

NOTE—Contn Sheet

47664

G R S III 21

General and Revenue Secretariat | Rel File No. 156 of 1914.

Serial No

10 A flat perusal of the Order after reasons thereof hearing the representation of the Munl Council, the Govt can shall either rescind the order or confirm it under S 3 of Sec 174 of the Regulation. The Govt can only take such action as it thinks desirable to maintain peace after hearing both sides & after passing orders under Sec 174.

11 D.C wishes to pass structures on the members of Munl Council Sec Govt hearing what the Council has to say. It is difficult to take any action against the Council. It is not known under what authority D.C. has made this report. He has not followed the procedure laid down in Sec 174 2nd Member)

D 20/2

6807 23/2

12 The Dy Com. may be asked to take action under Sec 174 of the Regn

13 The Dy Com has made another report against the same Municipality & the Pres & Captain is being taken by the Rev Comr. It is not perhaps desirable to take his Captain as President, as he is Vice Chairman of the Committee, but the file will also have to be taken into consideration when the 2nd Report comes up from Rev Dept & Rev Secy may be informed accy.

Sd 24/2/20

Secy) Submitted to Dewan Sahib

Dewan

NOTE—Contn sheet

Serial No

14 Dr. Mudiyanse 174 When he
his dying declaration made his appeal
he has already issued an order suspending
his execution & the warrant. The question
is whether that order should be upheld. The
reasons for suspending his execution do
not appear on the proceedings. [A sketch
locality showing his house & his land
from jail with buildings attached to
the farm, his house & his pit in which
his corpse is supposed to be concealed
& his surrounding houses together with his
house & his fishing catching & other
warrant house may be called for]

15 The evidence & the accused's pleadings
may be noted as perused when the
appeal called for is received. It is stated
that ordinarily that he betrayed guarantee
that first was that no place & worship
shall be built or allowed permanently. Mr. Clark
says that he does not oppose his bail
application but leaves it to the Court
to decide as to fixing bail Rs 25/-

Per Clerk for Note 10/2

6986 2/3

16 D.C. Negombo reports that the petitioner
has taken action under Ch 172
Sec 174 ~~to be done immediately~~
~~forwards the proceedings to us~~
& submits ~~further report in the~~
sketch etc ~~inside~~ portion in double brackets
& Crown order (the accused in dock)

10/2
3/8/25

TE–Contn Sheet

Mil File No 156 4966 of 1924 | General and Reve Secretariat

17. The file will first be sent to the Revenue Secretariat for notes & remarks & returning the file early.

L. L Secy). 18/3

18 W.S. 5/3

19 Asst Secy) 18/3 5/3

20 Mr. Mitt 6/3/25

21 Noted that file will be returned to Asst L.S.O. Branch

7-3-25 7.3.25

L.S.O. Branch) 22 7/3/25

23 Mem put up & draft

18/3 5/3

24 Submd with a draft letter to the Dy Comd for approval

Capt 11/3 25

17/3

25 Done 18/3 14/3

word 6604 dt 16/3/25. To be Secretary requests to forward a copy of this order to Capt Adams with a sketch of the locality

2

D 3802 6.3.25

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 12 MAR 1925 17/3

APP F III–2]

5

eneral and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 156 of 19 29

Serial No	
3	Letter No G.L 20393 & 14 8 25 from the DC Tumkur forwards the Sketch of the locality referred to in the above, and states that he issued a prohibitory order in the capacity of the Dist Magistrate and that he has since issued an order under the Jud Regn also
26	that the order now issued by the ~~Dist~~ DC Tumkur under Sec 144 ~~of the~~ the Jud Regn gives the following reasons for prohibiting the Construction of the temple. 1) there was no need for the temple & 2) it is likely to cause injury and annoyance to the inmates & employees ~~of~~ of the Stud Farm authorities.
	Govt 29 Now Govt have to pass orders, 18/8 on the Dy Comrs order under Sec 144 (2) of the Mysore Jud Regn either upholding it permanently or temporarily or modifying or rescinding the Dy Comrs order.
	JMK Refer paras 14 & 16
	1888 25 28 The site on which the temple is proposed to be built is about 200 yds from the Stud Farm's quarters & opposite to the road leading to the Stud Farm. It is not known if the Commissioner to be canvassing ~~any opinion~~ objections inconvenience to the public or to the Stud Farm authorities (vide para 3 of the

NOTE—Contn Sheet

File No of 19 General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

1244

5

G R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 156 of 19 24

Serial No

761 Dr Jones's letter of Febr 1925 or letter to Canon Lewis & the Veterinary Doctor a Brahmin gentleman (paid) of the above letter). The reason assigned in the proposed order since Lewis is that there was absolutely no need for the construction of the temple in the place & this is likely to cause injury or annoyance to the immediate employees of the Stud Farm. The words used in Order 1924 (1) are "injury or annoyance to the public" Please see para 24 of the note also containing the opinion of the Advocate General. It is not immaterial to build temple on the road side. Whether the opinion of the Stud Council should not be called for is for consideration

761 para 5 of this letter of Febr 1925. That the Superintendent was of opinion that the members of the Stud Council deserve some censure. As regards the conduct of Amildar, this has been dealt with by the Collector as required in para 15 of the Order.

1732 21/8

2 Weeks

29 Put up further in 2 Weeks on Dr Jones' file

20/8

NOTE—Contn sheet

Mr Jones' file may be transferred to Rev Dept for perusal, if necessary, in connection with Amildar's matter

23/8/25

3802/2 1244

G R S III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat | Jud File No 156 of 1924

Serial No

30 It seems that both have to pass an order from the Legislative Dept. in one of the ways specified in Section (2) of Leg. Act. It may also be expedient to await any reference if any from the Govt. of India to contest the order.

31 In the present file will be sent to the Govt. for reference as ordered

L Legis) 27/8/25.

Pol Dept) 27/8

32 The remarks made in Paras 13 & 15 above have been already noted. As the Rev. Comr's report has not been received, urgent reminders are being issued. As soon as the Rev. Comr's report is received the papers will be submitted for orders. In the meanwhile this file will, if approved, be returned to the L & A Branch for taking further action under Sec. 174 of the Regn. referred to above.

Asst. 3-9-25

(S & R.) 3/9

Jud. for perusal. If approved the papers will be kept in Sus awaiting reference

for Secy. to A. Govt.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No

from the Nel Council as remarked in para 30 above
Ltd for about a month
HK 8/9/25

Subd for orders for the refce to para 30 above
20/10 HK 21/10/25

The Council have not protested & Market branch may be asked if this file is wanted, if not, may be closed for the present.
20/10.

Rev Sec) HK 22/10/25

The Rev Comr's report has not yet been received On receipt of the report, this file will be obtained for reference, if necessary Returned herewith
HK
23-10-25

Keep this & remind R C for the report
24/10/25

Orders have since been issued in Go no R 2616/LR 275-25-6 & 20-11-25- The file of records returned to the LS & Branch
HK
24-11-25

Subd for perusal & the orders issued in Rev Sec's Memo para 29.
24/11 HK 24/11/25

2nd Member
[illegible] 30/11 26/11

28/11

REVENUE SECRETARIAT
10 SEP 1925
GENERAL & LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

REVENUE SECRETARIAT
- 5 DEC 1925
LOCAL SELF GOVT BRANCH

Flag H
4907
26/11

NOTE—Contn Sheet

WD 0569—GPB—40 000—31 7-25

File No

of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No	

NOTE—Contn Sheet

Serial No

Subd with draft returning records

Ent 8/12

4 L 4407 10/12/25

The D.C Tumkur asks Returns the records

B Ent 14/12

WD 0o69—GPB—40 000—31

File No

of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No

NOTE—Contr Sheet

Confidential.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read --

Correspondence ending with letter No.C.C.20/24-25 dated 4th November 1925 from the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, submitting a report on the allegations made against Mr. M. Ramaswamaiya, Amildar, now in Gundlupet Taluk.

Order -- No. R 2616/LR 275-25-6

Dated Bangalore, the 20 November 1925.

Several anonymous petitions received in the General and Revenue Secretariat making allegations of corruption against Mr. M. Ramaswamaiya, Amildar, when he was at T.Narsipur, were forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, for enquiry and report. The Sub Division Officer, Nanjangud, who enquired into the matter, has come to the conclusion that the allegations are baseless. The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District and the Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, agreeing in this view, have reported that no action is called for against Mr. Ramaswamaiya beyond a warning for his having taken part in collecting subscriptions for Girija Kalyanam at T. Narsipur.

It has also been brought to the notice of Government that Mr. Ramaswamaiya acted somewhat in an irresponsible manner in the matter of granting a license for building Anjaneya temple in front of the Stud Farm, while he was President of the Kunigal Municipality.

Government are pleased to accept the Revenue Commissioner's recommendation and direct that Mr. Ramaswamaiya who is transferred to Gundlupet since be warned in respect of the above acts.

By Order,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore, with records.

Copy to the L S G Branch

33253

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District,

No C 82/24 25 Dated Tumkur, the 30th January 1925
15th Jan

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARY
4961
- 4 FEB 1925

Mel 156-24

From

d Zahiruddin ecd, Esquire, B A,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District

To

B Narappa, Esquire, Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Local & Legis, Depts
Bangalore

Sir,

I have the honour to bring to the notice of Government the conduct of Mr Ramaswamaiya, the previous Amildar of Tumkal, in connection with the grant, on his own responsibility, of license to construct an Anjaneya's temple near the Western gate of the Stud Farm in direct violation of the Government Orders on the subject.

The facts are when a stone slab lying under a debris in the house of one Mr Sivaramiah was accidentally overturned, the people found the Image of Anjaneya thereon they accordingly set up the slab in the open space near Mr Sivaramiah gate, put up a Pendal and began to worship the Anjaneya After the lapse of about a year thereafter, one Lakshmanamoorthy Jois, applied to the President of the Tumkal Municipal Council for permission to construct a new Anjaneya temple near the Talur --- Office compound But the Municipal Council in their meeting held on the 2nd March 1922 (Flag A) resolved not only to -- grant him a license, but strange as it is, gave him the choice of constructing the temple either in Mr Sivaramiah's compound, where the image was found, or if that place was found insufficient, in front of the vacant place opposite the Stud Farm ---

gate, although this last mentioned place was not at all suggested by the petitioner himself'' The latter readily took the cue from this -- Resolution, and requested the Amildar President for permission to -- build the temple opposite the Stud Farm Gate, on the plea that r Sivaramaiah's son would not allow the temple near his house (Flag B) While this matter was pending consideration by the Managing Committee, the Amildar r [illegible] Ramaswamaiya, on receipt of a reminder from the -- applicant, without waiting for the opinion of the Managing Committee, and on his own responsibility, ordered the immediate issue of the licence which was prepared and delivered to the party on one and the same -- date '' (Flag C), as will be seen from the Kannada Order dated the -- 31st March 1903 to the Sanitary Inspector (Serial No 2-) under the signature of the Vice-President, which later on seem to have been -- snatched back from the licensee, to make it appear that it was not -- actually delivered (vide his remarks without date, " ready but not -- issued")**

3 The Superintendent of the Stud Farm immediately on his -- return from Bangalore wrote to the President, requesting him to cancel the license, as the proposed construction, owing to the narrowness of the road and the heaviness of traffic would cause great inconvenience to the public and to the Farm Authorities (Flag D) The matter was however brought before the Council on the 23rd April 03, and a -- resolution was passed declining to cancel the license once granted -- after due consideration, in a tone more or less of resentment and -- arrogance (Flag E) The Superintendent, Stud Farm again addressed the President pointing out , there was no public advantage in shifting the temple from the Bazaar portion of the treet to the Stud Farm Gate, while on the contrary, owing to the locality being congested, the gathering of the devotees in large numbers during Bhajanas etc , -- would not only cause serious obstruction to Farm horses, Farm and Transport carts, but might result in serious accidents, the danger being -- further increased by the frequent visit of Motor Cars to the Stud Farm, not to speak of the fact that people are in the habit of bringing -- Cholera and Small Pox patients [illegible] leaving the [illegible] --

such places He also pointed out that there were already 3 -- Anjeneya temples, in a small place like Kunigal, which were in a bad state of neglect, and he could not understand what religious merit there was in allowing the existing temples to collapse and building fresh ones instead He added that if the Council should still decide to allow the Anjeneya to be shifted as above, he -- would be obliged to bring the matter to the notice of the higher authorities (Flag F)

It is remarkable that in spite of this second lengthy -- letter of protest, the Municipal Council again resolved in their meeting dated the 25th May 1903 under Ramachandraiah's presidency, declining to reconsider their decision ' (Flag G)

4 On receipt of a communication from the Superintendent of Stud Farm (Flag H) the Amildar President was requested to submit a detailed report, and to explain how he gave permission -- contrary to the Standing Orders and Circulars of Government, to build a new place of worship without the permission of the --- District Magistrate, and was further asked to suspend all measures adopted for the construction of the temple (Flag H)

5 In submitting his report dated the 9th June 03 -- (Flag I extract), it is curious that the President thought it proper to report, that no permission had yet been granted etc , and the petitioner had only collected materials and had merely marked the site for excavating the foundation ' As a matter of fact it is evident from the record that the Amildar, not only issued a license on the 21st March 03, but also gave orders to the Sanitary Inspector to see the temple was constructed within 6 months etc (Vide flag C)' The report was also a deliberate suppression of facts, for when the undersigned visited Kunigal,

u ical, the farm superintendent pointed out the foundations that had actually been dug, in pursuance of the Collector's instructions to hurry up the work." This accounts in fact for the second report dated the 10th August 1923 (Flag J) stating that the well excavation for the construction of the temple had been completely filled up, with which the Collector followed up his report dated the 16th July referred to above."

In the course of my enquiry it was ascertained -- that the whole matter had been engineered by one of the -- Townspeople with the help and connivance of the Taluk Officials, who were actuated by motives of revenge and animosity against the Farm Authorities. It would seem to have been the long existing practice on the part of these to let loose their cattle into the farm grounds for illicit grazing! This was -- however put down by the Farm Superintendent with a -- firm hand by impounding all such cattle, some of the Taluk officials thus having a common grievance with the others in the matter.

7. At the time of my inspection, the Agricultural Farm -- employees including the Veterinary Doctor, a Lawyer cum Ayurvedic Brahmin gentleman, represented to me that the object of those interested in this proposal was to cause harm to the -- former by installing the Anjaneya opposite the Agricultural Farm, as there was a superstitious belief that the Anjaneya would -- bring ill luck wherever the image was installed, and is only borne out by the refusal of Ramanna, the late Krishnaramiah's son, to allow this image to be installed near his house, as it was believed to cause the utter ruin of his family.

8. It is a pity that the Taluk Authorities could undertake such a circumstances, employed in the construction of the

the Municipal public, and should have done his best to further a design which can hardly be called bona fide, in view, --- specially, of the indecent haste with which things were attempted to be pushed on, without any reference whatever to the authorities, whose permission was required in accordance with Circular Orders Nos 2528-79 dated the 23th August 71, Nos 83-14-13 dated the 6th May 1881 and No 914 F 53-93 D dated the 6th June 1893 . This was clearly an attempt, more or less clandestinely to make a pacca building opposite the Stud Farm, with an "accomplished fact", and -- which fell through only because there was no ready response from the general body of the public for funds'

It has to be observed that the relations of Mr Ramaswamaiya with Captain Aga Mohammed Jaffer, the Farm Superintendent were not particularly happy, as is evident from -- certain language the former employed regarding the latter in some official records ' The Amildar President's conduct -- is aggravated by his contradictory reports and denials of facts, as contained in the records furnished by himself, and rendered all the more culpable, as these attempts are directed against the interests of an important Government Department like the Stud Farm'

9 Under the above circumstances, I am strongly of opinion that some notice has to be taken of the conduct of this Amildar, who has handled this affair in a highly irresponsible manner, in defiance of Government Orders, and with utter disregard to the interests of one of the premier institutions in India In this connection the members of the Municipal Council also deserve some censure, in as much as, in their anxiety to lend their support to the high handed action of the Amildar - President, they failed to exercise proper discretion I am

am also of opinion that the construction of the temple on the site in question, be also prohibited for reasons already -- detailed

10 The records in the case are enclosed herein with a schrist, for perusal and return when no longer required

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Tanjore District

App F VI G1]

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 4966

Section Jud
File No 156-24
Serial No 2

No L 6604

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, the 192

Date of issue 16/3/25

Signed by

To The Dy Coml. Tumkur Divn

Sir

With reference to your letter No C 82/24-25 dt 1st Feby 1925 bringing to the notice of Govt the conduct of Mr. M. Ramaswamaiya the previous Amildar of Kunigal in connection with the grant on his own responsibility of a licence to construct Anjaneya's temple near the Western gate of the Stud Farm in direct violation of the Govt orders on the subject etc.,

I am directed to state that it is presumed that you have taken a copy of your order which is presumably the action taken under clauses 1 & 2 of Section 174 of the Mysore Municipal

over

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

and to request you to be so good as to forward
Regulation may be forwarded if your order to Govt and
for the information of Govt Submit along with
I am also to request you
to be so good as to furnish Govt
with a sketch of the locality
showing the position of the Stud
Farm gate with the buildings
attached to the Farm, the position
of the site on which the temple
is proposed to be constructed
and the surrounding houses together
with the position of the Taluk
Cutcherry and Seetharamaiah's
house

I have &c

W 14/7/3

for L & L Secy to Govt

ewkr 14/3 DS 17/3

Asst 14/7

07807

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District
Dated Tumkur the 14th August 1925.

Gl 20393 Rec

From

Md Zahiruddin Mecci, Esquire, B A,
Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District
T U M K U R

GENERAL & REVENUE 12442 18 AUG 1925 DEPARTMENT Local Self G

16 AUG 1925

To

Rev 156-24-3

B. Nagappa, Esquire, Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Govt of H H the Maharaja
of Mysore, Local & Legislative Departments,
B A N G A L O R E

SIR,

As desired in your letter No L 6604 M1 156-24-2 dated the 16th March 1925, re the conduct of Mr M. Ramasvamiya the previous Amildar of Kunigal, in connection with the grant on his own responsibility of a license to construct Anjeneya's temple near the western gate of the Stud Farm in direct violation of the Government Orders on the subject, I have the honor to enclose here-in a sketch of the locality showing the several places mentioned in your letter under reply.

2 As regards the action to be taken under clauses 1 & 2 of Section 174 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation, I beg to state that a prohibitory order having already been issued in the capacity of the District Magistrate(vide office copy of the order filed in the records already submitted to Government), a separate order under - Municipal Regulation was not issued prohibiting the construction of the temple in question I have since issued however another order under Municipal Regulation also copy of which is herewith submitted for the information of Government.

3. I request the favour of final orders in the matter.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District

AD 14/8.

07803

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.
Dated Tumkur the, 13th August 1925.

Sue to gl 20393



Order Under Clauses 1 & 2 Section 174 of the Mysore Municipal Regulation.

--

Whereas the undersigned is satisfied that inspite of the protests from the Government Stud Farm Authorities, the Municipal Council, Kunigal issued a license granting permission for the -- construction of an Anjaneya Temple in front of the western gate of the said Stud Farm although there was absolutely no need for the same and that as it is likely to cause injury and annoyance to the inmates and employees thereof, the construction of the said building is hereby prohibitted.

Deputy Commissioner,
Tumkur District.

AD. 13/8.


PLAN SHOWING THE EXISTING
AND PROPOSED SITES OF
SRI ANJANAYA TEMPLE
KUNIGAL TOWN MUNICIPALITY
Scale 40'=1"
-A denotes the existing temple
-B " the site proposed to be built
SHOPS
SHOPS
Bangalore Mangalore Road
29
Lingayat Temple
Old Christian School in ruins
Road
SHOPS


Ellamma's Temple Compound
SIVARAMIA'S HOUSE
Bazaar Road
SHOPS
TALUK OFFICE COMPOUND
Vacant Space
Vacant Space
104'
50'
115'
Supt's Quarters
TALUK OFFICE
SHOPS
B
70'
Road leading to Stud Farm
Amildar

18

App F VI G1 J

B650

SUBJECT

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

Date of issue 16/12/25

Signed by

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section Ml 156-24

File No

Serial No 4

No A4407 with (Rec'd)

To The DC Tumkur

Sir,

I am directed to return herewith the records received with your letter No C82/24-25 dt 2/12/25 ~~index~~ bringing to the notice of Govt the Conduct of Mr M Ramanamaiya the previous Amildar of Kunigal in connection with the granting of licence to Construct an Anjaneya temple near the Western gate of the Govt farm ~~in which~~ ~~location~~ I have etc

over

for Secretary to Govt

Attested